

*** 2019 Update: This document is provided for historical purposes only. For chemical-specific screening levels for use in assessing contaminated sites, please refer to HHRA Note 3 (DTSC HERO).***

REVISED CALIFORNIA HUMAN HEALTH SCREENING LEVELS FOR BERYLLIUM

March 2009



Integrated Risk Assessment Branch Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment California Environmental Protection Agency This Page Left Blank of South And South So

Revised California Human Health Screening Levels Stronk for Beryllium

March 2009

Prepared by Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

Authors Ned Butler, Ph.D,. Staff Toxicologist, Integrated Risk Assessment Branch David Siegel, Ph.D., Chief, Integrated Risk Assessment Branch

This Page Left Blank OSLS ONLY This Page Left Blank OSLS ONLY

Revised California Human Health Screening Levels for Beryllium

Preface

In 2005, the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) released a final document on the development of a list of soil screening numbers based on protection of public health and safety" as required by Health and Safety Code Section 57908 (OEHHA, 2005). The screening numbers have no regulatory authority and are published solery as reference values that may be used by citizen groups, community organizations, property owners, developers, and local government officials to determine sites that would likely need to furner action if a full risk assessment were conducted. How these soil screening levels should be applied is explained in "Use of California Human Health Screening Levels (CHHSL c) in Evaluation of Contaminated Properties," (Cal/EPA, 2005).

Beryllium CHHSLs

For a CHHSL to be calculated, a chemical must have a toxicity criterion. A toxicity criterion mathematically relates a measure of exposure to a chemical to its toxic effect. For noncarcinogens it is generally the highest does of the chemical not expected to cause a toxic effect. For a carcinogen it is the relationship between the risk of getting cancer caused by the chemical and the daily exposure to the chemical. In the OEHHA (2005) document, separate CHHSLs were developed for beryllium oxic, beryllium sulfate and all other forms of beryllium called "beryllium and compounds" because the three forms had different toxicity criteria. In 2005 the OEHHA Toxicity Criteria Database showed that all three were carcinogenic when inhaled. However, beryllium oxice and sulfate were considered carcinogenic when ingested, while "beryllium and compounds" was not. Residents and workers ingest far more soil at a site than the tiny amount that is inhaled after the soil is disturbed and becomes airborne dust. Therefore, the oral exposure conerally drives the risk. This is why the CHHSLs for beryllium oxide and sulfate (considered carcinogenic when ingested) are so low compared to the CHHSL for beryllium in compounds (not considered carcinogenic when ingested)..

Beryllium Foxicity Criteria

The Toxicity Criteria Database is a Web site (<u>www.oehha.ca.gov/risk/ChemicalDB/index.asp</u>) that compiles the decisions of OEHHA's ongoing evaluation of chemical toxicity. (The Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) is the equivalent for the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Web site). Toxicity criteria are based on a scientific study in which animals or humans have been exposed to several dose levels of the chemical and the incidence of adverse health effects has been measured. These scientific studies must meet certain criteria to be used.

Federal and California legislation in the mid 1980s required rapid criteria development of chemical toxicity at both levels of government. Some of these criteria were rescinded on reevaluation. The Beryllium Health Assessment document published in 1987 (USEPA, 1987) was the basis for oral cancer criteria for some forms of beryllium for both USEPA and

California. Shortly after the publication of the Beryllium Health Assessment document, both USEPA and OEHHA listed both oral and inhalation toxicity criteria for various forms of beryllium. USEPA reevaluated the 1987 Health Assessment document and scientific basis for calling beryllium oxide and beryllium sulfate carcinogenic by the oral route. The USEPA withdrew its oral potency factor on the April 3, 1998.

The USEPA toxicity criteria database (IRIS) has the following statement for beryllium and beryllium compounds, "The basis for not using the Schroeder and Mitchener rat study (1915a) is that the incidences of gross or malignant tumors in the control and beryllium-exposed groups were not significantly different." The Schroeder and Mitchener rat study (1975) was the previous basis for considering beryllium carcinogenic by the oral route. USEPA also stated, "The oral database is considered inadequate for the assessment of carcinogenicity." (IRIS, 1998). As a result, the IRIS Web site only lists a non-cancer Reference Dose (RfD) for oral exposure to beryllium.

Following the USEPA reevaluation, OEHHA reviewed the oral carcinogenicity for beryllium to determine a drinking water health goal (Public Health Goal; PHS). In the PHG document (OEHHA, 2003), OEHHA concurred with the USEPA decision and based the drinking water health goal for all beryllium compounds on a non-cancer offect. OEHHA states, "In this case the chemical is a known human carcinogen, based on exposures by the inhalation route, but oral cancer potency cannot be determined." (OEHHA, 2003)

The OEHHA PHG for beryllium is based on the same non-cancer scientific study used to determine the USEPA RfD, however, it is 10 times lower. This is because, after OEHHA identifies an appropriate study upon which to base the PHG, a No Observable Effect Level (NOAEL) is determined. OEHHA and VSEPA identified the same NOAEL. The second step is to divide the NOAEL by an Uncertainty Factor (UF) that accounts for the uncertainty in extrapolating the NOAEL in aritnals to one for humans which was the same for OEHHA and USEPA. When OEHHA suspects that a chemical could cause cancer but lacks a credible study on which to base a cancer potency, the UF is increased 10-fold on the non-cancer criterion which was the case for beryllium. Therefore, the beryllium PHG is based on a toxicity criterion of 0.0002 mg/kg-d. The USEPA RfD, on which the 2005 beryllium and beryllium compounds is based, is 0.002 mg/kg-d.

Calculation of New Beryllium CHHSLs

Calculations are shown below for new CHHSL values for beryllium oxide, beryllium sulfate and "beryllium and compounds" and they will replace the values from the 2005 document. This is done in order to make the CHHSLs consistent with the most recent OEHHA toxicity evaluation. Two changes are required for consistency. First, the less health protective USEPA toxicity criterion of 0.002 mg/kg-d used to compute the 2005 beryllium and beryllium compounds CHHSL will be replaced with the toxicity criterion used to compute the OEHHA PHG. Second, none of the toxicity criteria used will be based on an oral cancer potency value.

Cancer Calculations

The OEHHA inhalation cancer slope factor for beryllium sulfate is $3000 \text{ (mg/kg-d)}^{-1}$. For all other beryllium compounds including beryllium oxide, the inhalation slope factor is 8.4 $(mg/kg-d)^{-1}$. The equations used to compute a CHHSL when an inhalation slope, but not an oral slope factor, is available is:

Residential CHHSL based on inhalation of cancer-causing dust

$$CHHSL_{res} = \frac{TR \times AT \times PEF}{CSF_{i} \times EF_{r} \times \left(\left(\frac{IR_{a} \times (ED_{r} - ED_{c})}{BW_{a}}\right) + \left(\frac{IR_{c} \times ED_{c}}{BW_{c}}\right)\right)}$$

Where:

SONI TR is the target risk - 10^{-6} (one in a million) AT is 70 year lifetime in days called an averaging time 25550 days PEF is the particulate emission factor - $1.316 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3$ air/kg soil CSFi is the cancer slope factor for inhalation - 3000 (mg/kg-d)⁻¹ ber flyer sulfate and 8.4 $(mg/kg-d)^{-1}$ for all other forms of beryllium including beryllium oxide. IRa is the inhalation rate of an adult - $20 \text{ m}^3/\text{d}$ IRc is the inhalation rate of a child - $10 \text{ m}^3/\text{d}$ EFr is the exposure frequency for a resident - 350 days per EDr is the total exposure duration of a resident - 30 years EDc is the exposure duration of a resident as a child vears BWa is the body weight of an adult -70 kg BWc is the body weight of an adult -15 kg

Solving this residential equation modeling rehaled dust with these parameters for beryllium sulfate gives a CHHSL of 2.9 mg/kg. For ill other forms of beryllium including beryllium oxide the CHHSL based on inhaled dustin N43 mg/kg. CHSSLs are rounded to two significant figures yielding 2.9 and 1000 mg/kg, respectively.

Commercial/Industrial CHHSL based on inhalation of cancer-causing dust

$$CHHSL_{ind} = \frac{\overrightarrow{TR \times A\Gamma \times PEF}}{\frac{CSF \times IR_{w} \times EF_{w} \times ED_{w}}{BW_{w}}}$$

Where:

TR is the target risk -10^{-6} (one in a million) AT is 70 year lifetime in days called an averaging time - 25550 days PEF is the particulate emission factor - $1.316 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3$ air/kg soil

CSFi is the cancer slope factor for inhalation - 3000 (mg/kg-d)⁻¹ beryllium sulfate and 8.4 (mg/kg-d)⁻¹ for all other forms of beryllium including beryllium oxide. IRw is the inhalation rate of an worker - 20 m³/d EFw is the exposure frequency for a worker - 250 days per year EDw is the total exposure duration of a worker - 25 years BWw is the body weight of a worker - 70 kg

Solving this industrial equation modeling inhaled dust with these parameters for beryllium sulfate gives a CHHSL of 6.3 mg/kg. For all other forms of beryllium including beryllium oxide the CHHSL based on inhaled dust is 2242 mg/kg. CHSSLs are rounded to two significant figures yielding 6.3 and 2200 mg/kg, respectively.

Non Cancer Calculations

The OEHHA PHG drinking water criterion is based on a RfD that is 10-fold less than the one published by the USEPA. This value is 0.002 mg/kg-d. This value is used in the calculations below.

Residential CHHSL based on noncancer health effects to a child

$$CHHSL_{res} = \frac{PHQ \times BW_{c} \times 365}{EF_{r} \times \left(\left(\frac{IRS_{c}}{RfD_{rad} \times 10^{6}} \right) + \left(\frac{AF_{c} \times SA_{c} \times ABS}{RfD_{oral} \times 10^{6}} \right) + \left(\frac{IRA_{c}}{RfD_{inh} \times PEF} \right) \right)}$$

Where:

THQ is the target hizard quotient – 1.0 PEF is the particulate emission factor - $1.316 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3 \text{ air/kg soil}$ RfDoral is the OEHHA reference dose for oral exposure - 0.0002 mg/kg-dRfDinh is the USEPA reference dose for inhalation exposure - 0.00000571 mg/kg-dIcc is the inhalation rate of a child - $10 \text{ m}^3/\text{d}$ RSC is the soil ingestion rate of a child 200 mg/d EFr is the exposure frequency for a resident - 350 days per yearEDc is the exposure duration of a resident as a child - 6 yearsBWc is the body weight of a child - 15 kgAFc is the surface area of skin to which soil can stick for a child - $2800 \text{ cm}^2/\text{d}$ ABS is the percent of chemical that can be absorbed through the skin -1%

Solving this residential equation modeling ingestion, inhalation and dermal contact with these parameters gives a CHHSL of 16 mg/kg.

Commercial/Industrial CHHSL based on noncancer health effects to a worker

$$CHHSL_{ind} = \frac{THQ \times BW_{w} \times 365}{EF_{w} \times \left(\left(\frac{IRS_{w}}{RfD_{oral} \times 10^{6}} \right) + \left(\frac{AF_{w} \times SA_{w} \times ABS}{RfD_{oral} \times 10^{6}} \right) + \left(\frac{IRA_{w}}{RfD_{inh} \times PEF} \right) \right)}$$

Where:

THQ is the target hazard quotient - 1.0 PEF is the particulate emission factor - 1.316 x 10⁹ m³ air/kg soil RfDoral is the OEHHA reference dose for oral exposure - 0.0002 mg/kg-d RfDinh is the USEPA reference dose for inhalation exposure - 0.00000571 mg/kg-d IRw is the inhalation rate of a worker - 20 m³/d IRSw is the soil ingestion rate of a worker 100 mg/d EFw is the exposure frequency for a worker - 250 days per year EDw is the exposure duration of a resident as a worker - 25 years BWw is the body weight of an adult - 70 kg AFw is the soil to skin adherence factor for a worker- 0.2 mg/cm² SAw is the surface area of skin to which soil can stick for a worker - 3300 cm²/d ABS is the percent of chemical that can be absorbed through the skin -1%

Solving this industrial equation modeling ingestion reharation and dermal contact with these parameters gives a CHHSL of 190 mg/kg.

Conclusion

Summary of 2005 CHHSLs for Beryllium (mg/kg soil)

Scenario	Resid	Residential		Commercial/Industrial	
		Non-		Non-	
Effect	Cancer	cancer	Cancer	cancer	
Beryllium and Compourds	1000	150	2200	1700	
Beryllium Oxide	0.091 ^a	150	0.41	1700	
Beryllium Sulfate	0.00021	150	0.00095	1700	

^a The values in vold are the 2005 CHHSLs for each compound and scenario.

Summary of Recalculated CHHSLs for Beryllium (mg/kg soil)

Scenario	Residential		Commercial/Industrial	
Vífect	Concor	Non-	Cancer	Non-
Beryllium and Compounds	Cancer 1000	cancer16	2200	190
Beryllium Oxide	1000	16	2200	190
Beryllium Sulfate	2.9	16	6.3	190

Because the inadvertent ingestion of soil drives these calculations, eliminating the orar cancer potencies for beryllium oxide and beryllium sulfate dramatically increases the CHHSLs based on carcinogenicity from those published previously. Likewise, decreasing the or 1 PD by a factor of 10 reduced the new CHHSLs based on non-cancer effects for beryllium and beryllium compounds 10-fold.

Summary of Updated 2008 CHHSLs for Beryllium (mg/kg soil)

Scenario	Residential	Comm	ercivil/Industrial
Beryllium Sulfate	2.9		5.3
All Other Beryllium Compounds	16		190

The residential CHHSL for beryllium sulfate is 2.9 mg/sg and the industrial CHHSL is 6.3 mg/kg. For all other forms of beryllium (including beryllium oxide), the non-cancer residential value of 16 is lower than the cancer residential value of 1000. Therefore, the residential CHHSL is 16 mg/kg. For all other forms of beryllium (including beryllium oxide), the non-cancer commercial/industrial value of 190 is lower than the cancer commercial/industrial value of 2200 mg/kg.

.e, th

References

California Environmental Protection Agency, 2005, Use of California Human Health Screening Levels (CHHSLs) in Evaluation of Contaminated Properties, January 2005. Available at: <u>http://calepa.ca.gov/Brownfields/documents/2005/CHHSLsGuide.pdf</u>

OEHHA, 2005 revision, Human-Exposure-Based Screening Numbers Developed to Aid Estimation of Cleanup Costs for Contaminated Soil, Integrated Risk Assessment Branch, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, California Environmental Protection Agency, Sacramento, CA, November 2004, January 2005 Revision. Available at: <u>http://www.oehha.ca.gov/risk/Sb32soils05.html</u>

OEHHA, 2003, Public Health Goal for Beryllium and Beryllium Compounds in Drinking Water, Pesticide and Environmental Toxicology Section, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, California Environmental Protection Agency, Oakland, CA, September 2003. Available at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/water/phg/pdf/BePHG92303.pdf

Schroeder HA, Mitchener M. (1975). Life-term studies in rats: Effects of aluminum, barium, beryllium and tungsten. J. Nutr. 105:421-427.

USEPA, (1987). Health Assessment Document for Beryllium. Research Triangle Park, NC: U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Health and Environmental Assessment, Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office. EPA report no. EPA/600/8-84/026F.

USEPA (1998). *Integrated Risk Information System; Beryllium. CASRN 7440-41-7*. Criteria and Assessment Office, Cincinnati, OH. http://www.epa.gov/iris/.

Appendix

Reponses to Comments Received on the September 2008 Review Draft Report

Dr. Jean Rabovsky representing herself.

Dr. Rabovsky points out that the document is unclear. The table showing the candidate CUSSLs did not include values for non-cancer endpoints for beryllium sulfate or beryllium oxide. She was concerned that the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) and not consider non-cancer endpoints. The revised tables have all values to avoid context.

Mr. Lawrence Szuhay representing Brush Wellman, Inc.

Mr. Szuhay had two comments:

- 1. In 2003, OEHHA should not have adopted the Pubmy Nearth Goal (PHG) with the additional 10-fold safety factor. He believes that has is "improper and unnecessarily conservative."
- 2. OEHHA should not compute a CHHSL for berylium based on a cancer endpoint for inhalation.

Mr. Szuhay provided extensive written meterial in support of his two comments. Unfortunately, the two comments do not pertain to the action taken. OEHHA did not reevaluate the basis of either the beryllium PHG or the inharation slope factor for any forms of beryllium as part of the CHHSL development process. Both the PHG and inhalation slope factors underwent a public comment period culminating in the adoption of the existing criteria in earlier actions by OEHHA that were not part of the CHHSL development process.

OEHHA eliminated oral cancer potency values for beryllium oxide and beryllium sulfate based on the findings of the 2003 beryllium PHG. In addition, OEHHA choose to use the reference dose developed for the PHG in 2003 instead of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) criterion.